

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached one sheet of drawing includes changes to Fig. 10. The sheet replaces the original sheets including the same figure.

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 8-16, 20-24 and 32-34 are pending in the case. Claims 8 and 20 are indicated to be allowable while the remaining claims are rejected. In the present submission, claims 8-14, 20-24, 33 and 34 have been cancelled and claims 1 and 15 have been amended. Applicant has also amended the title of the application. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Title

The title has been amended as required by the Examiner.

Drawing Objections

Figure 10 has been amended to include the “Prior Art” legend as required by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the drawing objections is respectfully requested.

Election/Restrictions

The Examiner imposed a restriction requirement on claims 9-14, 21 and 33-34. In the present submission, Applicant has cancelled claims 9-14, 21 and 33-34 to pursue these claims in a divisional application.

Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 1, 8, 15 and 20 due to informalities. In the present submission, claim 8 has been incorporated into claim 1 and claim 20 has been incorporated into claim 15. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended to recite “selectively transmissive filter(s)” as required by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the claim objections is respectfully requested.

§102/103 Rejections

Claims 1, 22, 24 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Morimura (U.S. Patent 4,630,106). Claims 2-3, 15-16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Morimura in view of Fowler et al. (U.S. Patent

5,461,425). Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Morimura in view of Examiner's official notice.

In the present submission, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of dependent claim 8. Claim 8 is indicated to be allowable and therefore claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Claim 8 is now cancelled. Claims 2-4 and 32, dependent upon claim 1, are patentable at least for the same reasons claim 1 is patentable.

Furthermore, in the present submission, claim 15 has been amended to include the limitations of dependent claim 20. Claim 20 is indicated to be allowable and therefore claim 15 is in condition for allowance. Claim 20 is now cancelled. Claim 16, dependent upon claim 15, are patentable at least for the same reasons claim 15 is patentable.

Claims 22-24 have been cancelled and the rejection as to these claims is therefore moot.

For the above reasons, claims 1-4, 15-16 and 32 are patentable over the cited references and withdrawal of the §102/103 rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

After the present amendment, claims 1-4, 15-16 and 32 are pending in the present application. For the reasons stated above, the claims are in condition for allowance. Passage of the present case to allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner would like to discuss any aspect of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (408) 382-0480.

Certificate of Electronic Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically to the United States Patent and Trademark Office using EFS-Web on the date shown below.

/Carmen C Cook/

Attorney for Applicant(s)

February 14, 2007

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

/Carmen C Cook/

Carmen C. Cook

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 42,433

Patent Law Group LLP

2635 N. First St.

Suite 223

San Jose, CA 95134

Tel (408) 382-0480 x208

Fax (408) 382-0481